	Case 5:08-cv-01869-UA-PLA Document 3 Filed 12/17/08 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:15
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WILBUR PITTMAN,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:08-cv-2931 JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	M. MARTEL,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983,.
18	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on
19	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
20	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
21	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
22	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
23	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
24	In this case, the defendant(s) are located and the claim arose in Riverside County,
25	which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been filed
26	in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice,
	1